



Perceptual aftereffects of adiposity transfer from hands to whole bodies

Klaudia B. Ambroziak¹ · Marina Araujo Bofill¹ · Elena Azañón^{2,3,4} · Matthew R. Longo¹ 

Received: 10 February 2023 / Accepted: 8 August 2023 / Published online: 24 August 2023
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

Adaptation aftereffects for features such as identity and gender have been shown to transfer between faces and bodies, and faces and body parts, i.e. hands. However, no studies have investigated transfer of adaptation aftereffects between whole bodies and body parts. The present study investigated whether visual adaptation aftereffects transfer between hands and whole bodies in the context of adiposity judgements (i.e. how thin or fat a body is). On each trial, participants had to decide whether the body they saw was thinner or fatter than average. Participants performed the task before and after exposure to a thin/fat hand. Consistent with body adaptation studies, after exposure to a slim hand participants judged subsequently presented bodies to be fatter than after adaptation to a fat hand. These results suggest that there may be links between visual representations of body adiposity for whole bodies and body parts.

Keywords Adaptation · Body perception · Visual perception

Introduction

Along with faces, bodies are among the most fundamental sources of information we have about other people (Minnebusch and Daum 2009; Peelen and Downing 2007). Neuroimaging studies in both monkeys (Fisher and Freiwald 2015; Pinsk et al. 2005, 2009; Tsao et al. 2003) and humans (Chan et al. 2010; Downing et al. 2001; Downing and Peelen 2011; Saxe et al. 2006) have revealed regions of the visual system which appear specialised for perception of bodies and

body parts. In humans, these areas include the extrastriate body area (Downing et al. 2001) and the fusiform body area (Peelen and Downing 2005; Schwarzlose et al. 2005). Psychophysical studies have also revealed that bodies are susceptible to sensory adaptation, with prolonged exposure to extreme body types producing perceptual aftereffects in the opposite direction (Brooks et al. 2016; Glauert et al. 2009; Hummel et al. 2012b; Winkler and Rhodes 2005). Together, these results demonstrate the existence of specialised mechanisms in the visual system for perceiving human bodies.

We frequently see entire bodies as well as individual body parts. There is evidence from patient studies that semantic knowledge of the body is a distinct domain, which can be selectively impaired or spared following brain damage (Capitani et al. 2003; Coslett et al. 2002; Kemmerer & Tranel 2008). Traditionally, knowledge about the body has been proposed to be organised into a structure called a ‘partonomy’, in which different parts of the body are hierarchically organised into progressively larger units (Brown 1976; McClure 1975). There is some evidence, however, that the visual system may treat whole bodies and body parts in more qualitatively different ways. For example, Taylor and colleagues (2007) found that the EBA and FBA show very different response patterns to whole bodies vs. body parts. They showed participants both individual body parts and larger portions of the entire body. The EBA showed a progressive

Communicated by Bill J Yates.

-
- ✉ Klaudia B. Ambroziak
k.b.ambroziak@gmail.com
 - ✉ Matthew R. Longo
m.longo@bbk.ac.uk

- ¹ Department of Psychological Sciences, Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, UK
- ² Institute of Psychology, Otto-Von-Guericke University, Universitätsplatz 2, 39016 Magdeburg, Germany
- ³ Center for Behavioral Brain Sciences, Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany
- ⁴ Department of Behavioral Neurology, Leibniz Institute for Neurobiology, Brennekestraße 6, 39118 Magdeburg, Germany

increase of blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) response the more of the body was shown, suggesting that it maintains distinct representations of different parts and the more parts are shown, the stronger the BOLD response will be. Notably, the EBA responded even to very small body parts such as fingers. In striking contrast, the FBA showed a step-like increase of activation when large portions of the body were shown, showing no response at all to small parts like fingers.

Another recent study (Harry et al. 2016) found that a region in the anterior temporal lobe showed integrated representations of faces and whole bodies, whereas more posterior regions such as EBA and FBA did not, consistent with other recent studies (Fisher and Freiwald 2015; Kaiser et al. 2014). Critically, however, there was no evidence for integrated representations of faces and individual body parts, suggesting that they are not bound into more holistic representations of personal identity in the way that whole bodies are. Other behavioural experiments have found that whole bodies and body parts are differentially affected by inversion (Reed et al. 2006; Walsh et al. 2018). One study suggested that the body-specific responses in the regions like the EBA may be embedded in a much larger, somatotopic map of the body across wide regions of the posterior visual cortices (Orlov et al. 2010), while another study suggested that there may be interesting semantic structure underlying the representations of different body parts in the occipito-temporal cortex (Bracci et al. 2015). Together, this body of research suggests that there are important differences in the way the visual system codes whole bodies and body parts.

One particularly interesting body part is the hand. Within the somatosensory system, the hand has among the highest levels of cortical magnification given its obvious importance for skilled action (Penfield and Boldrey 1937; Sur et al. 1980). There is also evidence that hands are unusually important for the visual system as well. Early single-unit studies of the infero-temporal cortex of monkeys reported neurons with highly selective responses to specific categories of stimuli, notably including faces and hands (Desimone et al. 1984; Gross et al. 1969, 1972). While such responses to faces have resulted in a vast body of research, the hand-specific responses have been comparatively ignored. There is some evidence, however, that there may be hand-specific visual representations. Bracci and colleagues (2010) identified a region in the left extrastriate cortex which showed highly selective responses to hands compared to other body parts, which they argued was at least partly distinct from the EBA. In a subsequent study, this group replicated this result and showed that the representations of hands in this area are highly similar to representations of tools (Bracci et al. 2012). There is also neuropsychological evidence that semantic knowledge about limbs (if not about hands specifically) may be selectively impaired or spared following brain damage (Laiacina et al. 2006). One patient, though

impaired at naming many categories of everyday object, was incredulous at the suggestion that she might have analogous difficulty identifying hands, “everyone knows what a hand is” (Shelton et al. 1998). Intriguingly, a recent study which put head-mounted cameras on young infants showed that hands become a dominant visual input during the second year of life (Fausey et al. 2016).

One approach to investigating overlap of processing between stimuli or domains is to look at the extent to which adaptation aftereffects transfer between them. Several studies have reported that adaptation to a stimulus in one sensory modality can transfer to other modalities, for example between vision and touch (Konkle et al. 2009; Matsumiya 2013) and audition and vision (Kitagawa and Ichihara 2002; Pye and Bestelmeyer 2015). Within vision, other studies have identified transfer of adaptation aftereffects between faces and whole bodies (Cooney et al. 2015; Ghuman et al. 2010; Kessler et al. 2013; Palumbo et al. 2015), consistent with the evidence mentioned above for the existence of integrated whole-person representations in the visual system (Fisher and Freiwald 2015; Harry et al. 2016). Two studies have investigated transfer of aftereffects between hands and faces, reaching opposite conclusions. Kovács and colleagues (2006) reported clear adaptation aftereffects on perceived sex for both faces and hands. Critically, however, they found no evidence of transfer of adaptation between these categories (i.e. adaptation to a female face did not affect the perceived sex of subsequently presented hands). In contrast, Lai and colleagues (2012) did find transfer of adaptation between hands and faces for judgments of age. One other recent study showed partial transfer of adaptation to altered length from legs to arms (Bratch et al. 2021). To our knowledge, no studies have investigated transfer of adaptation between hands and whole bodies.

The present study investigated whether visual adaptation aftereffects transfer between hands and whole bodies in the context of adiposity judgments (i.e. how thin or fat a body is). Numerous recent studies have demonstrated large and systematic adiposity aftereffects for whole-body stimuli (Brooks et al. 2016; Challinor et al. 2017; Glauert et al. 2009; Hummel et al. 2012a, b; Hummel et al. 2012b; Mohr et al. 2016; Winkler and Rhodes 2005). We asked whether such adaptation would be found if the adapting stimulus was a fat or a thin hand. Participants made judgments of whether presented whole-body stimuli were fatter or thinner than an average body both before and after visual adaptation to a fat or a thin hand. If adiposity aftereffects transfer between hands and whole bodies, the body stimuli judged as most average should be shifted in the direction of the adapting hand stimuli at post-test. In contrast, if adaptation affects distinct representations of hands and whole bodies, then no such differences should be found.

Methods

Participants

Twenty female volunteers in London between 20 and 39 years of age ($M: 25.7$) participated after giving informed consent. Participants had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. The procedures were approved by the Department of Psychological Sciences Ethics Committee at Birkbeck.

Our sample size was chosen to be in line with our previous study using a similar paradigm with visual body stimuli (Ambroziak et al. 2019). A sample size-weighted average of the effect sizes in the three experiments of that study gave a mean of $d_z = 2.225$, a very large effect size. A power analysis using G*Power 3.1 software (Faul et al. 2007) using this effect size and alpha of 0.05 showed that only four participants were needed for power of 0.95. Indeed, our sample size would give power of more than 0.95 even if the effect size for hand-to-body adaptation was only half that we found for body-to-body adaptation in our earlier study.

Stimuli

The test stimuli were a set of 89 images of female bodies which varied parametrically in body-mass index (BMI), from a BMI of 13 (i.e. emaciated) to 35 (i.e. obese) in a biologically realistic way, as shown in Fig. 1. Stimuli were created using the Genesis 2 Model in Daz Studio 4.8 software. To approximate the BMI of each avatar, we used the formula proposed by Cornelissen and colleagues (2009) which relates waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) to BMI for White British women of reproductive age based on data from the 2003 Health Survey for England: $WHR = (2.057 * BMI + 29.67) / (1.842 * BMI + 56.004)$. This formula allowed us to adjust WHR to produce avatars of a continuous range of BMI values between 13 and 35. The waist and hip circumference of avatars was manipulated using the Universal Sizing Apparatus (Rocketship

Technologies Inc., <http://rocketship3d.com/>). The height of each avatar was kept constant at 170 cm. The bodies were displayed from a frontal perspective and with the head removed.

Note that the BMIs given to the avatars are approximations and may not align exactly with real BMIs in the physical world. Indeed, we believe that these virtual BMIs are somewhat overestimated when compared to real bodies. Critically, however, this is irrelevant in the present study as we do not compare the BMI values of our participants with those of the avatars, and hence, the BMI values are simply a useful nomenclature for labelling the continuum of body shapes.

The adapting stimuli were a very thin and a very fat hand, also created using Daz Studio 4.8. The hand was taken from a default avatar provided by the software: model “Genesis female 3”, and adjusted to create the thin (Fig. 1, right top) and the fat (Fig. 1, right bottom) hand in Daz by adjusting the adiposity parameters to be maximally low and high, respectively. Stimuli were presented in the centre of a 24-inch monitor. The height of each image was approximately 18 cm (20.4° visual angle).

To confirm that the hand stimuli were actually perceived as differing in how thin and fat they were, we obtained ratings from an independent group of nine women ($M: 35.2$ years). For each hand stimulus, ratings were obtained for two questions: (1) “How thin or fat does this hand look?”, and (2) “Think about the person whose hand this is. How thin or fat would you think they are?” Ratings were made using a 1–10 scale with 1 labelled “Extremely thin” and 10 labelled “Extremely fat”.

The results clearly show that the hands are perceived as dramatically different. Ratings were substantially higher for the fat hand than the thin hand, both for the first question about how fat the hand itself was (7.7 vs. 1.9), $t(8) = 9.34$, $p < 0.0001$, $d_z = 3.11$, and for the second question about how fat the person was (8.0 vs. 1.7), $t(8) = 10.97$, $p < 0.0001$, $d_z = 3.66$.



Fig. 1 Left: the test stimuli consisted of a continuum of 89 female bodies which varied from emaciated (BMI=13) to obese (BMI=35) in a biologically realistic way. Seven of the bodies are shown here as examples. Right: the adapting stimuli consisted of thin (top) and fat (bottom) hands

Procedures

Participants sat at a table approximately 50 cm in front of the monitor. Head movements were unrestricted. Stimuli were presented using the Psychtoolbox (Brainard 1997; Pelli 1997) in MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA).

There were four blocks in total, a *Baseline* and an *Adaptation* block for each of the two adapting stimuli (a fat and a thin hand). The adaptation blocks were always preceded by a baseline block. Within each block, there were 80 trials. On each trial, participants were shown one of the body test stimuli and asked to judge whether the body was thinner or fatter than an average body of a woman of the participant's own age in the UK. At the start of the session, the experimenter explained that “average” in this context means the most common or typical body for someone of their age and sex in the UK. Participants responded by pressing either the left (thinner than average) or right (fatter than average) arrow keys on the keyboard using their right index and middle fingers, respectively.

The point of subjective equality (PSE; i.e. the stimulus which the participant judged as exactly average) was estimated using the QUEST Bayesian adaptive staircase method (Watson and Pelli 1983). Each block included two independent QUEST staircases each including 40 trials, which were randomly interleaved.

During the baseline blocks, each trial began with a 250 ms blank screen, and then the test stimulus appeared for 1000 ms. The test stimulus was chosen on each trial by QUEST from the set of 89 stimuli based on the history of responses within that staircase. After the test stimulus disappeared, the screen remained blank until the participant responded. The response was followed by a black fixation cross in the centre of the screen for 500 ms.

Each adaptation block began with an initial exposure period in which the participant viewed either the thin or the fat hand. During this period, the hand was shown for 2 min 21 s (i.e. the image was presented for 4.5 s, followed by a 200 ms blank screen, repeated 30 times). Before each test stimulus, the adapting stimulus was shown again for 4 s to “top up” the adaptation and ensure that it was sustained throughout the entire block, followed by a 1-s fixation cross. The rest of the trial was identical to the baseline blocks.

The order of the two adaptation blocks was counterbalanced across participants. After the first adaptation block, participants took a 10 min break before starting the next baseline block in order to allow adaptation to the previous adaptor to dissipate.

Raw data are included as electronic supplemental material.

Analysis

The PSEs from the two QUEST staircases in each block were averaged. The PSE represents the body size for which the participant would be equally likely to judge the body as being thinner or fatter than an average body. We can thus think of this value as the body size which the participant sees as average. Changes in the PSE as a result of adaptation can be counterintuitive to understand. For example, suppose the PSE is 25 at baseline and 23 after adaptation. Though this is a shift in the ‘thinner’ direction, it corresponds to a bias to judge individual stimuli as fatter. A stimulus of 24 would be judged as thinner than average at baseline, but as fatter than average after adaptation. According to the standard logic of contrastive adaptation aftereffects, adaptation to a thin hand should make bodies look fatter and thus shift the PSE to a lower value. Conversely, adaptation to a fat hand should make bodies look thinner and thus shift the PSE to a higher value.

PSE values were analysed with a 2×2 repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with factors block type (baseline, adaptation) and adaptor type (thin, fat). Planned t tests compared the effects of adaptation (i.e. baseline vs. adaptation) separately for each of the two adaptor types, and also the difference between the two adaptor types separately for baseline and adaptation blocks.

As measures of effect size, we report η_p^2 for F -statistics and Cohen's d_z for paired t tests.


Results

The results are shown in Fig. 2. An ANOVA revealed a significant interaction between block type (baseline, adaptation) and adaptation type (thin, fat), $F(1, 19) = 8.95$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.32$. There were no significant main effects of block type, $F(1, 19) = 0.35$, $p > 0.50$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.02$, nor of adaptor type, $F(1, 19) = 2.71$, $p > 0.10$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.13$.

There was a clear PSE difference in the adaptation blocks, between the thin ($M: 23.92$) and fat ($M: 25.01$) conditions, $t(19) = 3.26$, $p < 0.005$, $d_z = 0.73$. Importantly, this difference was not observed in the baseline blocks ($M: 24.43$ in the thin condition and $M: 24.28$ in the fat condition), $t(19) = 0.41$, $p > 0.50$, $d_z = 0.09$. A comparison between baseline and adaptation blocks revealed an effect of adaptation for the fat adaptor, $t(19) = 3.00$, $p < 0.01$, $d_z = 0.67$, while the effect did not reach significance for the thin adaptor, $t(19) = 1.60$, $p = 0.125$, $d_z = 0.36$.

To compare the magnitude of aftereffects induced by adaptation to the two adaptors, we multiplied the difference between post-test and pre-test PSEs in the thin condition by -1 , so that for both types of adaptors, a positive value indicated an aftereffect in the predicted direction. The magnitude

Fig. 2 The grey lines indicate individual subjects and the mean is shown in black. Left panel: there was no significant effect of adaptation as compared to baseline in the thin condition ($p=0.125$). Middle panel: there was a clear effect of adaptation as compared to baseline in the fat condition ($p<0.01$). Right panel: direct comparison between adaptation phase for thin and fat adaptor showed clear effect of adaptation ($p<0.005$)



of aftereffects did not differ significantly between the two adapting stimuli, $t(19)=0.59$, $p>0.20$, $d_z=0.133$.

Discussion

Adiposity aftereffects transfer between hands and whole bodies. Adaptation to extremely fat and thin hands produced classical aftereffects on judgments of perceived adiposity of whole-body stimuli. These results suggest that hand adiposity is a feature coded by the visual system and which is susceptible to sensory adaptation, and that a common representation may underlie perception of adiposity in whole bodies and hands.

A few recent studies have found transfer of adaptation aftereffects between faces and whole bodies for a range of characteristics, including gender (Ghuman et al. 2010; Palumbo et al. 2015), identity (Ghuman et al. 2010), and orientation (Cooney et al. 2015). These results converge with neuroimaging results showing that higher-level regions of the visual system maintain integrated whole-person representations, abstracting across faces and whole bodies (Cox et al. 2004; Fisher and Freiwald 2015; Harry et al. 2016; Kaiser et al. 2014). Previous studies have reached mixed conclusions about transfer of adaptation between hands and faces, with one study finding such transfer (Lai et al. 2012) and another not finding it (Kovács et al. 2006). To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate transfer of adaptation between hands and whole bodies.

It is also important to situate the successful transfer of adaptation between hands and bodies in the context of other cases in which transfer does not seem to occur. For example, in the study by Cooney and colleagues (Cooney et al. 2015) orientation aftereffects transferred from faces to bodies, but not in the opposite direction from bodies to faces. Similarly, Lai and colleagues (2012), while finding transfer from hands to faces for age judgments, found no such transfer from voices to faces. Several other studies on face aftereffects have found high levels of specificity with at least partial independence of adaptation across stimuli depicting individuals differing in sex, race, age, or species (Bestmeyer et al. 2008; Jaquet and Rhodes 2008; Little et al. 2008; Schweinberger et al. 2010). The degree of such specificity has been found to be modulated by the way in which stimuli are labelled (Little et al. 2011), suggesting that transfer is not based only on the degree of overlap between categories in visual representations, but relates to higher-level conceptualisation of the stimuli. In the present study, we made no explicit comment to participants about the relation between the identity of the hand and body stimuli, though they were of similar skin colour and were compatible in terms of sex and age. It would be interesting in future studies to manipulate such characteristics to investigate how the effects we observe are similarly modulated. It is notable in this context that studies of adiposity aftereffects for whole bodies have found clear transfer across identity, for example between images of the participant themselves and a stranger (Brooks et al. 2016; Hummel et al. 2012). Other studies have demonstrated analogous transfer between bodies

in different orientations (Brooks et al. 2018), of different genders (Brooks et al. 2019), and of different races (Gould-Fensom et al. 2019).

As discussed in the introduction, there is a range of evidence that body parts and whole bodies may be distinctly represented by the visual system. For example, the EBA and FBA appear to code for body parts and whole bodies, respectively (Taylor et al. 2007), and hands appear to have their own distinct representation in extrastriate cortex (Bracci et al. 2010, 2012). The present results showing transfer of adaptation between hands and whole bodies emphasises the functional links between parts and whole in body perception. In particular, these results suggest that visual representations of body adiposity may be shared between whole bodies and body parts.

One important issue concerns how specific the reported effects are to hands as adapting stimuli, or whether they might result from low-level features of the adapting stimuli, such as the overall aspect ratio of the images. Multiple pieces of evidence suggest that the effect is not due to the aspect ratio of the stimuli. First studies of both face adaptation (Bestelmeyer et al. 2008; Jaquet and Rhodes 2008; Little et al. 2008; Schweinberger et al. 2010) and body adaptation (Brooks et al. 2019) have found that aftereffects are larger when adapting and test stimuli match in features such as sex, race, and age. Second, Hummel and colleagues (2012) showed that adaptation to rectangles differing aspect ratio did not affect judgments of how fat or thin subsequently viewed bodies were. Finally, it is also worth noting that the thin and fat hand stimuli in our study did not differ substantially in overall aspect ratio. Looking at the ratio of length to width of the smallest rectangle each of the hand images would fit into gives values of 1.34 for the thin hand and 1.40 for the fat hand. Not only is this difference quite small, it also goes in the opposite direction as would be needed to account for our results. That is, the bounding rectangle of the fat hand is actually taller and thinner than that of the thin hand. While this is counterintuitive, it is related to the fact that we did not create the fat and thin stimuli by simply stretching an image in opposite directions, but manipulate the apparent adiposity of the hands in a subtler and more biologically realistic way.

One limitation of the present study is the fact that the whole-body test stimuli we used included hands. This raises the possibility that the aftereffects we report could result hand-to-hand effects, rather than hand-to-body ones. We consider this possibility unlikely given that the hands in the whole-body stimuli are very small, and are shown in profile, making them poor cues to body size, particularly in comparison to the very salient cues in the rest of the body. Another limitation is the use of a single identity for each adiposity level for hand and body stimuli. While the body stimuli form a clear continuum from thin to fat, it is possible that there are idiosyncratic

features of these stimuli that might be unrepresentative of effects with other stimuli.

Another limitation concerns whether adaptation affects perception of stimuli themselves or merely the decisions participants make about those stimuli (cf. Storrs 2015). The psychophysical methods used in this paper do not allow interpretations in terms of changes in low-level sensory representations or in terms of higher-level perceptual decisions to be distinguished. The same is true, however, for nearly all studies investigating “high-level” aftereffects related to stimuli such as faces and bodies. It will be important for future research to distinguish these potential underlying mechanisms across the wide range of face and body aftereffects reported in the literature.

The perception of body size in whole bodies has been widely studied given its obvious importance for both judgments of attractiveness (Brierley et al. 2016; Singh 1993; Tovée et al. 1998) and body image concerns (Becker and Hamburg 1996; Bruch 1978). Some recent research has investigated the perception of facial adiposity, which has been linked to overall attractiveness (Currie and Little 2009; Peters et al. 2007; Re and Perrett 2014) and perceived health (Coetzee et al. 2009, 2011; Fisher et al. 2014). Comparatively little research, however, has investigated perceived hand adiposity and attractiveness. One study did find that ratings of hand ‘fattiness’ were a significant negative predictor of hand attractiveness, among several others such as femininity, typicality, and skin healthiness (Koscinski 2012). In the present study, participants were not asked to make any judgments at all about the hand stimuli, which as adaptor stimuli were passively viewed. The clear adaptation aftereffects we report demonstrate, however, that hand adiposity is a feature coded by the visual system and which is susceptible to sensory adaptation.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-023-06686-7>.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the European Research Council Grant ERC-2013-StG-336050 under the FP7.

Funding This study was funded by FP7 Ideas: European Research Council, ERC-2013-StG-336050, Matthew R. Longo.

Data availability Raw data are included as electronic supplemental material.

Declarations

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Ambroziak KB, Azañón E, Longo MR (2019) Body size adaptation alters perception of test stimuli, not internal body image. *Front Psychol* 10:2598. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02598>
- Becker AE, Hamburg P (1996) Culture, the media, and eating disorders. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 4:163–167. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10673229609030540>
- Bestelmeyer PEG, Jones BC, DeBruine LM (2008) Sex-contingent face aftereffects depend on perceptual category rather than structural encoding. *Cognition* 107:353–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2007.07.018>
- Bracci S, Caramazza A, Peelen MV (2015) Representational similarity of body parts in human occipitotemporal cortex. *J Neurosci* 35:12977–12985. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4698-14.2015>
- Bracci S, Cavina-Pratesi C, Ietswaart M, Peelen MV, Caramazza A (2012) Closely overlapping responses to tools and hands in left lateral occipitotemporal cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 107:1443–1456. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00619.2011>
- Bracci S, Ietswaart M, Peelen MV, Cavina-Pratesi C (2010) Dissociable neural responses to hands and non-hand body parts in human left extrastriate visual cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 103(6):3389–3397. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00215.2010>
- Brainard DH (1997) The psychophysics toolbox. *Spat vis* 10:433–436. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156856897X00357>
- Bratch A, Chen Y, Engel SA, Kersten DJ (2021) Visual adaptation selective for individual limbs reveals hierarchical human body representation. *J vis* 21(5):18. <https://doi.org/10.1167/jov.21.5.18>
- Brierley M-E, Brooks KR, Mond J, Stevenson RJ, Stephen ID (2016) The body and the beautiful: health, attractiveness and body composition in men's and women's bodies. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0156722. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156722>
- Brooks KR, Baldry E, Mond J, Stevenson RJ, Mitchison D, Stephen ID (2019) Gender and the body size aftereffect: implications for neural processing. *Front Neurosci* 13:1100. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2019.01100>
- Brooks KR, Clifford CWG, Stevenson RJ, Mond J, Stephen ID, Brooks KR (2018) The high-level basis of body adaptation subject category. *R Soc Open Sci* 5(6):172103
- Brooks KR, Mond JM, Stevenson RJ, Stephen ID (2016) Body image distortion and exposure to extreme body types: contingent adaptation and cross adaptation for self and other. *Front Neurosci* 10:334. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FNINS.2016.00334>
- Brown CH (1976) General principles of human anatomical parthood and speculations on the growth of partonomic nomenclature. *Am Ethnol* 3:400–424. <https://doi.org/10.1525/ae.1976.3.3.02a00020>
- Bruch H (1978) *The golden cage: the enigma of anorexia nervosa*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge
- Capitani E, Laiacona M, Mahon B, Caramazza A (2003) What are the facts of semantic category-specific deficits? A critical review of the clinical evidence. *Cogn Neuropsychol* 20:213–261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02643290244000266>
- Challinor KL, Mond J, Stephen ID, Mitchison D, Stevenson RJ, Hay P, Brooks KR (2017) Body size and shape misperception and visual adaptation: an overview of an emerging research paradigm. *J Int Med Res* 45:2001–2008. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060517726440>
- Chan AW-Y, Kravitz DJ, Truong S, Arizpe J, Baker CI (2010) Cortical representations of bodies and faces are strongest in commonly experienced configurations. *Nat Neurosci* 13:417–418. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2502>
- Coetsee V, Perrett DI, Stephan ID (2009) Facial adiposity: a cue to health? *Perception* 38:1700–1711. <https://doi.org/10.1068/p6423>
- Coetsee V, Re D, Perrett DI, Tiddeman BP, Xiao D (2011) Judging the health and attractiveness of female faces: is the most attractive level of facial adiposity also considered the healthiest? *Body Image* 8:190–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2010.12.003>
- Cooney S, Dignam H, Brady N (2015) Heads first: visual aftereffects reveal hierarchical integration of cues to social attention. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0135742. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135742>
- Cornelissen PL, Tovée MJ, Bateson M (2009) Patterns of subcutaneous fat deposition and the relationship between body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio: implications for models of physical attractiveness. *J Theor Biol* 256:343–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2008.09.041>
- Coslett HB, Saffran EM, Schwoebel J (2002) Knowledge of the human body: a distinct semantic domain. *Neurology* 59:357–363. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.59.3.357>
- Cox D, Meyers E, Sinha P (2004) Contextually evoked object-specific responses in human visual cortex. *Science* 304:115–117. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093110>
- Currie TE, Little AC (2009) The relative importance of the face and body in judgments of human physical attractiveness. *Evol Hum Behav* 30:409–416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2009.06.005>
- Desimone R, Albright TD, Gross CG, Bruce C (1984) Stimulus-selective properties of inferior temporal neurons in the macaque. *J Neurosci* 4:2051–2062. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.04-08-02051.1984>
- Downing PE, Jiang Y, Shuman M, Kanwisher N (2001) A cortical area selective for visual processing of the human body. *Science* 293:2470–2473. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1063414>
- Downing PE, Peelen MV (2011) The role of occipitotemporal body-selective regions in person perception. *Cogn Neurosci* 2:186–226. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17588928.2011.582945>
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, Buchner A (2007) G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods* 39(2):175–191. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193146>
- Fausey CM, Jayaraman S, Smith LB (2016) From faces to hands: changing visual input in the first two years. *Cognition* 152:101–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2016.03.005>
- Fisher C, Freiwald WA (2015) Whole-agent selectivity within the macaque face-processing system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 112:14717–14722. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1512378112>
- Fisher CI, Hahn AC, DeBruine LM, Jones BC (2014) Integrating shape cues of adiposity and color information when judging facial health and attractiveness. *Perception* 43:499–508. <https://doi.org/10.1068/p7728>
- Ghuman AS, McDaniel JR, Martin A (2010) Face adaptation without a face. *Curr Biol* 20:32–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.10.077>
- Glauert R, Rhodes G, Byrne S, Fink B, Grammer K (2009) Body dissatisfaction and the effects of perceptual exposure on body norms and ideals. *Int J Eat Disord* 42:443–452. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.20640>
- Gould-Fensom L, Tan CBY, Brooks KR, Mond J, Stevenson RJ, Stephen ID (2019) The thin white line: Adaptation suggests a common neural mechanism for judgments of Asian and Caucasian body size. *Front Psychol* 10:2532. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02532>
- Gross CG, Bender DB, Rocha-Miranda CE (1969) Visual receptive fields of neurons in inferotemporal cortex of the monkey. *Science* 166:1303–1306. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.166.3910.1303>
- Gross CG, Rocha-Miranda CE, Bender DB (1972) Visual properties of neurons in inferotemporal cortex of the macaque. *J Neurophysiol* 35:96–111. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1972.35.1.96>
- Harry BB, Umla-Runge K, Lawrence AD, Graham KS, Downing PE (2016) Evidence for integrated visual face and body

- representations in the anterior temporal lobes. *J Cogn Neurosci* 28:1178–1193. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn>
- Hummel D, Grabhorn R, Mohr HM (2012a) Body-shape adaptation cannot be explained by adaptation to narrow and wide rectangles. *Perception* 41:1315–1322. <https://doi.org/10.1068/p7197>
- Hummel D, Rudolf A, K., Untch, K. H., Grabhorn, R., & Mohr, H. M. (2012b). Visual adaptation to thin and fat bodies transfers across identity. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e43195. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043195>
- Jaquet E, Rhodes G (2008) Face aftereffects indicate dissociable, but not distinct, coding of male and female faces. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform* 34:101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-1523.34.1.101>
- Kaiser D, Strnad L, Seidl KN, Kastner S, Peelen MV (2014) Whole person-evoked fMRI activity patterns in human fusiform gyrus are accurately modeled by a linear combination of face- and body-evoked activity patterns. *J Neurophysiol* 111:82–90. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00371.2013>
- Kemmerer D, Tranel D (2008) Searching for the elusive neural substrates of body part terms: A neuropsychological study. *Cogn Neuropsychol* 25:601–629. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02643290802247052>
- Kessler E, Walls SA, Ghuman AS (2013) Bodies adapt orientation-independent face representations. *Front Psychol* 4:413. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00413>
- Kitagawa N, Ichihara S (2002) Hearing visual motion in depth. *Nature* 416:172–174. <https://doi.org/10.1038/416172a>
- Konkle T, Wang Q, Hayward V, Moore CI (2009) Motion aftereffects transfer between touch and vision. *Curr Biol* 19:745–750. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.03.035>
- Koscinski K (2012) Hand attractiveness—Its determinants and associations with facial attractiveness. *Behav Ecol* 23:334–342. <https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arr190>
- Kovács G, Zimmer M, Bankó E, Harza I, Antal A, Vidnyánszky Z (2006) Electrophysiological correlates of visual adaptation to faces and body parts in humans. *Cereb Cortex* 16:742–753. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhj020>
- Lai M, Oruç I, Barton JJS (2012) Facial age after-effects show partial identity invariance and transfer from hands to faces. *Cortex* 48:477–486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2010.11.014>
- Laiacona M, Allamano N, Lorenzi L, Capitani E (2006) A case of impaired naming and knowledge of body parts. Are limbs a separate sub-category? *Neurocase* 12:307–316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13554790601125940>
- Little AC, DeBruine LM, Jones BC (2011) Category-contingent face adaptation for novel colour categories: Contingent effects are seen only after social or meaningful labelling. *Cognition* 118:116–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2010.09.011>
- Little AC, DeBruine LM, Jones BC, Waitt C (2008) Category contingent aftereffects for faces of different races, ages and species. *Cognition* 106:1537–1547. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2007.06.008>
- Matsumiya K (2013) Seeing a haptically explored face: visual facial-expression aftereffect from haptic adaptation to a face. *Psychol Sci* 24:2088–2098. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613486981>
- McClure EF (1975) Ethno-anatomy: the structure of the domain. *Anthropol Linguist* 17:78–88
- Minnebusch DA, Daum I (2009) Neuropsychological mechanisms of visual face and body perception. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 33:1133–1144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.05.008>
- Mohr HM, Rickmeyer C, Hummel D, Ernst M, Grabhorn R (2016) Altered visual adaptation to body shape in eating disorders: implications for body image distortion. *Perception* 45:725–738. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0301006616633385>
- Orlov T, Makin TR, Zohary E (2010) Topographic representation of the human body in the occipitotemporal cortex. *Neuron* 68:586–600. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.09.032>
- Palumbo R, D’Ascenzo S, Tommasi L (2015) Cross-category adaptation: exposure to faces produces gender aftereffects in body perception. *Psychol Res* 79:380–388. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-014-0576-2>
- Peelen MV, Downing PE (2005) Selectivity for the human body in the fusiform gyrus. *J Neurophysiol* 93:603–608. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00513.2004>
- Peelen MV, Downing PE (2007) The neural basis of visual body perception. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 8:636–648. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2195>
- Pelli DG (1997) The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: transforming numbers into movies. *Spat vis* 10:437–442. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156856897X00366>
- Penfield W, Boldrey E (1937) Somatic motor and sensory representation in the cerebral cortex of man as studied by electrical stimulation. *Brain* 60:389–443. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/60.4.389>
- Peters M, Rhodes G, Simmons LW (2007) Contributions of the face and body to overall attractiveness. *Anim Behav* 73:937–942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.07.012>
- Pinsk MA, Arcaro M, Weiner KS, Kalkus JF, Inati SJ, Gross CG, Kastner S (2009) Neural representations of faces and body parts in macaque and human cortex: a comparative fMRI study. *J Neurophysiol* 101:2581–2600. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.91198.2008>
- Pinsk MA, DeSimone K, Moore T, Gross CG, Kastner S (2005) Representations of faces and body parts in macaque temporal cortex: a functional MRI study. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 102:6996–7001. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502605102>
- Pye A, Bestelmeyer PEG (2015) Evidence for a supra-modal representation of emotion from cross-modal adaptation. *Cognition* 134:245–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2014.11.001>
- Re DE, Perrett DI (2014) The effects of facial adiposity on attractiveness and perceived leadership ability. *Q J Exp Psychol* 67:676–686. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2013.825635>
- Reed CL, Stone VE, Grubb JD, McGoldrick JE (2006) Turning configural processing upside down: part and whole body postures. *J Exp Psychol Human Percept Perform* 32:73–87. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-1523.32.1.73>
- Saxe R, Jamal N, Powell L (2006) My body or yours? The effect of visual perspective on cortical body representations. *Cereb Cortex* 16:178–182. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhi095>
- Schwarzlose RF, Baker CI, Kanwisher N (2005) Separate face and body selectivity on the fusiform gyrus. *J Neurosci* 25:11055–11059. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2621-05.2005>
- Schweinberger SR, Zäske R, Walther C, Golle J, Kovács G (2010) Young without plastic surgery: perceptual adaptation to the age of female and male faces. *Vis Res* 50:2570–2576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2010.08.017>
- Shelton JR, Fouch E, Caramazza A (1998) The selective sparing of body part knowledge: a case study. *Neurocase* 4:339–351. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13554799808410631>
- Singh D (1993) Adaptive significance of female physical attractiveness: role of waist-to-hip ratio. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 65:293–307. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.65.2.293>
- Storrs KR (2015) Are high-level aftereffects perceptual? *Front Psychol* 6:157. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00157>
- Sur M, Merzenich MM, Kaas JH (1980) Magnification, receptive-field area, and size in areas 3b and 1 of somatosensory cortex in owl monkeys. *J Neurophysiol* 44:295–311. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1980.44.2.295>
- Taylor JC, Wiggett AJ, Downing PE (2007) Functional MRI analysis of body and body part representations in the extrastriate and fusiform body areas. *J Neurophysiol* 98:1626–1633. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00012.2007>

- Tovée MJ, Reinhardt S, Emery JL, Cornelissen PL (1998) Optimum body-mass index and maximum sexual attractiveness. *The Lancet* 352:548. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)79257-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)79257-6)
- Tsao DY, Freiwald WA, Knutsen TA, Mandeville JB, Tootell RBH (2003) Faces and objects in macaque cerebral cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 6:989–995. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1111>
- Walsh E, Vormberg A, Hannaford J, Longo MR (2018) Inversion produces opposite size illusions for faces and bodies. *Acta Psychol (Amst)* 191:15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2018.08.017>
- Watson AB, Pelli DG (1983) QUEST: A Bayesian adaptive psychometric method. *Percept Psychophys* 33:113–120. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03202828>
- Winkler C, Rhodes G (2005) Perceptual adaptation affects attractiveness of female bodies. *Br J Psychol* 96:141–154. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000712605X36343>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.